

SMALL BUSINESS NATIONWIDE DD&R– FINAL RFP  
 SOLICITATION NO. 89303322REM000112  
 AMENDMENT 0002 SUMMARY OF CHANGES

<b>Amendment 0002 to 89303322REM000112</b>		
<b>RFP Section Reference</b>	<b>Final RFP Posting</b>	<b>Amendment 0002 Posting</b>
L.9(b)	Offeror Past Performance. The Offeror shall provide information on its record of relevant past performance on work similar in scope, size, and complexity to that described in the ETEC Task Order. Similar scope, size, and complexity are defined as follows: scope – type of work (all work included in Section C of the ETEC Task Order); size – dollar value; and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., prior innovations, work performance improvements, subcontractor management, cost efficiencies, management of complex CHRM requirements, and successful partnerships with the Government, Client, and Regulators).	Offeror Past Performance. The Offeror shall provide information on its record of relevant past performance on work similar in scope, size, and complexity to that described in the ETEC Task Order. Similar scope, size, and complexity are defined as follows: scope – type of work (all work included in Section C of the ETEC Task Order); size – dollar value (approximate average annual value in relation to proposed work; annual contract value of approximately \$8.5M); and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., prior innovations, work performance improvements, subcontractor management, cost efficiencies, management of complex CHRM requirements, and successful partnerships with the Government, Client, and Regulators).
M.2(a)	(a) Offeror. The Offeror, to include all members of a teaming arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), will be evaluated on the Government’s assessment of relevant and recent past performance information obtained for the Offeror performing work similar in scope, size, and complexity to the portion of the ETEC Task Order that each entity is proposed to perform. The information will be evaluated in order to assess the Offeror’s potential success in performing the work required by the contract. The evaluation will be based on the portion of work that each entity is proposed to perform, and may consider the following: : scope – type of work (all work included in Section C of the ETEC Task Order), size – dollar value; and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., prior innovations, work performance improvements, subcontractor management, cost efficiencies, management of complex CHRM requirements, and successful partnerships with the Government, Client, and Regulators). The higher the degree of relevance of the work, the greater the consideration that may be given.	(a) Offeror. The Offeror, to include all members of a teaming arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), will be evaluated on the Government’s assessment of relevant and recent past performance information obtained for the Offeror performing work similar in scope, size, and complexity to the portion of the ETEC Task Order that each entity is proposed to perform. The information will be evaluated in order to assess the Offeror’s potential success in performing the work required by the contract. The evaluation will be based on the portion of work that each entity is proposed to perform, and may consider the following: : scope – type of work (all work included in Section C of the ETEC Task Order), size – dollar value (approximate average annual value in relation to the proposed work; annual contract value of approximately \$8.5M for evaluation purposes); and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., prior innovations, work performance improvements, subcontractor management, cost efficiencies, management of complex CHRM requirements, and successful partnerships with the Government, Client, and Regulators). The higher the degree of relevance of the work, the greater the consideration that may be given.